

OPEN MEETING ITEM



0000014471

COMMISSIONERS
MARC SPITZER - Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES



ORIGINAL

22

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

DATE: November 30, 2004

NOV 30 2004

DOCKET NO: T-04234A-04-0064

TO ALL PARTIES:

DOCKETED BY	
-------------	--

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Marc E. Stern. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

TELEDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.
(CC&N/RESELLER)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and thirteen (13) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

DECEMBER 9, 2004

The enclosed is NOT an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

December 14 and 15, 2004

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602)542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Secretary's Office at (602) 542-3931.

RECEIVED

2004 NOV 30 P 12:09

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

BRIAN C. McNEIL
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET; PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET; TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347
www.cc.state.az.us

This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Linda Hogan, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail LHogan@cc.state.az.us

1
2 **BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

3 COMMISSIONERS

4 MARC SPITZER, Chairman
5 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
6 JEFF HATCH-MILLER
7 MIKE GLEASON
8 KRISTIN K. MAYES

9 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
10 TELEDATA SOLUTIONS, INC. FOR A
11 CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND
12 NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE
13 RESOLD INTEREXCHANGE
14 TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, EXCEPT
15 LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES.

DOCKET NO. T-04234A-04-0064

DECISION NO. _____

ORDER

16 Open Meeting
17 December 14 and 15, 2004
18 Phoenix, Arizona

19 **BY THE COMMISSION:**

20 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the
21 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:

22 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

23 1. On January 30, 2004, Teledata Solutions, Inc. ("Applicant" or "TSI") filed with the
24 Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide
25 competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services,
26 within the State of Arizona.

27 2. Applicant is a switchless reseller that purchases telecommunications services from a
28 variety of carriers for resale to its customers.

3. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold
telecommunications providers ("resellers") are public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction
of the Commission.

4. TSI has authority to transact business in the State of Arizona.

5. On June 10, 2004, Applicant filed an Affidavit of Publication indicating compliance

1 with the Commission's notice requirements.

2 6. On November 12, 2004, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a
3 Staff Report which includes Staff's fair value rate base determination in this matter and recommends
4 approval of the application subject to certain conditions.

5 7. In the Staff Report, Staff stated that TSI provided unaudited financial statements for
6 the six months ending June 30, 2004, which list assets in excess of \$2.2 million, equity in excess of
7 \$500,000 and net income of \$239,068.

8 8. In its Staff Report, Staff stated that based on information obtained from the Applicant,
9 it has determined that TSI's fair value rate base ("FVRB") is zero and is not useful in a fair value
10 analysis, and is not useful in setting rates. Staff further stated that in general, rates for competitive
11 services are not set according to rate of return regulation, but are heavily influenced by the market.
12 Staff recommended that the Commission not set rates for TSI based on the fair value of its rate base.

13 9. Staff believes that TSI has no market power and that the reasonableness of its rates
14 will be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors. In light of the competitive market in which
15 the Applicant will be providing its services, Staff believes that the rates in Applicant's proposed
16 tariffs for its competitive services will be just and reasonable, and recommends that the Commission
17 approve them.

18 10. Staff recommended approval of TSI's application subject to the following:

19 (a) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders,
20 and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications
21 service;

22 (b) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as
23 required by the Commission;

24 (c) The Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and
25 other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the
26 Commission may designate;

27 (d) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all
28 current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;

(e) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and
modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict

1 between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;

2 (f) The Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations
3 including, but not limited to customer complaints;

4 (g) The Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to the Arizona
5 Universal Service Fund, as required by the Commission;

6 (h) The Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon
7 changes to the Applicant's name, address or telephone number;

8 (i) If at some future date, the Applicant wants to collect from its resold
9 interexchange customers an advance, deposit and/or prepayment, Staff recommends
10 that the Applicant be required to file an application with the Commission for
11 Commission approval. Such application must reference the Decision in this docket and
12 must explain the Applicant's plans for procuring a performance bond;

13 (j) The Applicant's interexchange service offerings should be classified as
14 competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;

15 (k) The Applicant's maximum rates should be the maximum rates proposed by the
16 Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive
17 services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of
18 providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109;

19 (l) In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a
20 competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged
21 for the service as well as the service's maximum rate; and

22 (m) In the event the Applicant requests to discontinue and/or abandon its service
23 area it must provide notice to both the Commission and its customers. Such notice(s)
24 shall be in accordance with A.A.C. R14-2-1107.¹

25 11. Staff further recommended that TSI's Certificate should be conditioned upon the
26 Applicant filing conforming tariffs in accordance with this Decision within 365 days from the date of
27 an Order in this matter, or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first.

28 12. Staff recommended that if the Applicant fails to meet the timeframes outlined in
Findings of Fact No. 11, that TSI's Certificate should become null and void without further Order of
the Commission, and that no time extensions for compliance should be granted.

13. TSI will not collect advances, prepayments or deposits from its customers.

¹ Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1107, the Applicant is required to comply and obtain Commission authorization of compliance with all of the requirements, including but not limited to the notice requirements, prior to discontinuance of service and/or abandonment of its service area.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Teledata Solutions, Inc. fails to meet the timeframes
2 outlined in Findings of Fact. No. 11 above that the Certificate conditionally granted herein shall
3 become null and void without further Order of the Commission.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Teledata Solutions, Inc. shall not require its Arizona
5 customers to pay advances, prepayments or deposits for any of its products or services.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

7 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.
8
9

10 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

11
12 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive
14 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
15 hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the
16 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,
17 this ____ day of _____, 2004.

18 BRIAN C. McNEIL
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

19 DISSENT _____
20

21 DISSENT _____
22

23 MES:mlj
24
25
26
27
28

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: TELEDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.

2 DOCKET NO.: T-04234A-04-0064

3

4 Patrick D. Crocker
EARLY, LENNON, CROCKER & BARTOSIEWICZ, P.L.C
5 900 Comerica Building
Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007-4752
6 Attorneys for Teledata Solutions, Inc.

7 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
Legal Division
8 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
9 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

10 Ernest G. Johnson, Director
Utilities Division
11 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28